SUMMARY OF THE CONSULTATION RESPONSES NOVEMBER 2019

	Category of Provider/Responder	Maintained First/ Primary			ademy First/ imary	Maintained Middle		Academ Middle	у 👡			Academy Secondary/High		All Through		Total Mainstream Schools		Other	
	Number of All Providers	109		68		8		11		5		25		1		227		N/A	
	Number of Responses	9		7		2		2 3			20		1		44		1		
	% of Responses to Number of All Providers	8		10		25		18 60		60		80	100		19		N/A		
		Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	N	1 Y	1	Y N	Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	N	
Q1	Do you support the Local Schools Funding Formula (LSFF) in 2020-21 continuing to be based, as in 2018-19 and 2019-20, upon the DfE NFF parameters as far as is practicable and affordable subject to the Schools Block DSG available?	8	1	7		2		1	1	3	20		1		42	2			
Q2	Do you support the transfer of 0.5% of the Schools Block DSG in 2020-21, to the High Needs Block DSG to support cost pressures? SEE NOTE 1 BELOW	3	6		7	1	1		2		3	20		1	4	40	1		
Q3	Do you support the arrangements for delegation and de-delegation for 2018-19 and 2019-20 as detailed in Table 2 in the consultation document to continue for 2020-21? APPLICABLE TO LOCAL AUTHORITY (LA) MAINTAINED MAINSTREAM SCHOOLS ONLY	8	1			2				3					13	1			
Q4	Do you support the arrangements for centrally retained services as detailed in Table 3 in the consultation document for 2018-19 and 2019-20 to continue in 2020-21? APPLICABLE TO ALL SCHOOLS BOTH LOCAL AUTHORITY (LA) MAINTAINED AND ESFA ACADEMIES	8	1	7		2		1	1	3	16	4	1		38	6	1		

Note 1 - For those supporting Q2 further indications were as follows: -

The transfer from Schools Block to High Needs Block DSG should be adjusted by: -

A combination of reducing the AWPU and changing the MFG/Capping percentages 1
A combination of reducing the lump sum and changing the MFG/Capping percentages 1
Changing the MFG/Capping only 1

Note 2 - Some schools did not respond to all the questions.

Summaries of main issues from the consultation responses on the LSFF, the other consultation questions and other issues are detailed in Appendices 3 and 4.